

Hello,

I am commenting on proceeding 17-108 because I believe that strong protections in favor of Net Neutrality are absolutely necessary for this country. While many proponents of revoking Title II protections claim that it will benefit consumers, there seems to be little to no plan for protections of any kind to take its place. This troubles me because while in theory an open market will keep companies from abusing power, the fact remains that the majority of Americans have little to no choice of internet provider. If one company decides to implement anti-consumer policies or blocks certain websites the average consumer will have no recourse against this.

I am not anti-business nor am I demonizing internet providers, but I am skeptical that any company would go out of its way to benefit its consumers with neither competition nor regulation. While there might be ways Title II is insufficient as a means of protecting consumers, I believe that removing them altogether without a backup plan is a harmful course of action. The internet is responsible for the growth of many industries and the creation of many companies, I would hate to see its potential limited by anybody who would find it beneficial to do so.

Thank you for your time,

Joshua Spigelman